EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

CLYDE RAY SPENCER, et. al.,	No. 3:11-cv-05424-BHS
Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF
v	INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT SHARON KRAUSE
JAMES M. PETERS, et. al.,	AND ANSWERS THERETO
Defendant.))

Plaintiffs, by their undersigned attorneys, request that Defendant Sharon Krause answer the following Interrogatories within 30 days after service hereof.

INSTRUCTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

- 1. Respond to each interrogatory separately and completely.
- 2. For each interrogatory response, identify each person who answers and/or assists in answering, and state each such person=s relationship, if any, with defendant.
- For any interrogatory as to which an objection or claim of privilege is asserted, fully state the grounds for such objection or claim of privilege, so as to permit the adjudication of the propriety of the objection or claim of privilege. In addition, with respect to any document identified as responsive to any of these interrogatories and as to which an objection or claim of privilege is made:
 - a. State the date of the document;

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT SHARON KRAUSE
(3:11-cv-05424-BHS) — 1
DWT 20424296v1 0094078-000001

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
LAW OFFICES
Suite 2200
1201 Third Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101-3045
206 622 3150 main 206 757 7700 fax

My involvement would have been making the results of my investigation, including reports, witness interviews, victim interviews, and suspect interviews available to the Prosecutor's Office, specifically Prosecutor Arthur Curtis and Deputy Prosecutor Jim Peters, or both. They prepared and filed a motion and affidavit for an arrest warrant. Ultimately, the Judge who signed the arrest warrant determined that there was probable cause to arrest.

The evidence supporting probable cause is detailed in the above-referenced reports, interviews, motion and affidavit, all of which are in plaintiffs' possession and can be reviewed for responsive information pursuant to FRCP 33(d).

INTERROGATORY NO. 16: List the witness interviews that you have knowledge of that were conducted between August 30, 1985 and May 16, 1985, inclusive, relating to the investigation of Ray Spencer as a perpetrator of sexual abuse. Please identify those witness interviews by date and who was present.

ANSWER: Pursuant to FRCP 33(d), see the police reports and records from the Clark County Sheriff's Office investigation, the Vancouver Police Department's internal investigation, and the Sacramento Police Department's investigation. I know of no interviews which are not documented in those records.

INTERROGATORY NO. 17: Were you present for any videotaped/audiotaped interviews of Matthew Ray Spencer, Kathryn Spencer (now Kathryn Tetz) and Matt Hansen relating to the investigation of Ray Spencer? If yes, please identify by providing the name(s) of the child interviewed, the name of the interviewer and the names of other persons present.

ANSWER: The only time was when Jim Peters did a videotaped interview with Kathryn Spencer (now Kathryn Tetz). I was present briefly at the beginning, and then I left. Deanne Spencer was also present, as was a video camera operator, who I believe was a male.

INTERROGATORY NO. 18: Do you agree with the Washington Supreme Court that Michael Davidson=s personal relationship with Shirley Spencer began during the investigation of Ray Spencer? Please describe what you know about that personal relationship.

27

22

23

24

25

ANSWER: Objection, compound – this interrogatory consists of at least two discrete subparts, bringing the total number of interrogatories asked at this point to at least 23. Objection is also based upon the grounds that this interrogatory is argumentative and misleading.

Without waiving said objections, I do not agree that Michael Davidson's personal relationship with Shirley Spencer began during the investigation. Although I do not recall the exact date that Michael Davidson made me aware that he and Shirley had a relationship other than professional, I do recall that it was a considerable length of time after my interview with Shirley's son Matthew Hanson. I do not believe for one minute that it was during the investigation of Clyde Ray Spencer.

During the initial part of the investigation until a time when Matthew Hansen reported that he had been sexually abused by Clyde Ray Spencer, Shirley Spencer was always very, very supportive and protective of her then husband. She appeared to be very upset about what was occurring, specifically in regards to her husband. It was apparent that she refused to even consider the possibility that Ray Spencer could have done the things his daughter Kathryn was reporting. Her main focus of concern was Kathryn's safety and her husband's well-being. Also, Michael Davidson was my immediate supervisor. Because of the sensitivity of this investigation, my contact with Michael Davidson was probably on a daily basis. There were a number of times during the investigation when I had the opportunity to observe the interaction between Shirley Spencer and Michael Davidson. At no time did I ever observe any interaction between the two of them that would have given me cause to be concerned that there was anything other than a professional relationship between them.

At the time Michael Davidson told me he and Shirley Spencer were seeing each other, I was not the only person at the Sheriff's Officer who became aware of it. Based on what others in the department were saying to me and conversation I heard, it appeared to be fairly common knowledge. I have absolutely no doubt in my mind, if this information would have surfaced or

even been suspected during the investigation, the Sheriff's Administration would have dealt with it immediately and Michael Davidson would not have been allowed to supervise or participate in the investigation in any capacity.

Several years prior to this investigation, I was assigned a case involving an alleged adult rape/kidnap victim. After the Vancouver Police and Clark County Sheriff's Office had spent hundreds of man hours investigating her claims, it was learned that this women had fabricated everything. At one point during the investigation, I became aware that a Clark County Deputy was having an intimate relation with the alleged victim. This deputy and his wife were also very close personal friends of me and my husband. We camped together with our families, went boating, fishing and were spending a considerable amount of time together. At one point he told me my husband "was the best friend he had ever had." When I learned about his relationship with the alleged victim, I immediately reported it to the Sheriff's Office Administration, I believe it was to the Sheriff directly. I was extremely upset and angry when I learned what was happening. Later that same day I confronted the deputy, let him know what I had learned and made him aware that I had reported it to my superiors. I also told him, "I have no intention of losing my job or my reputation over your stupidity." I was aware that he was reprimanded or disciplined in some way by the Administration; however, I am not aware of the nature of the discipline. Except for times when it became necessary to talk because we all worked for the same department, neither my husband nor I had any contact with him or his wife away from work for many years.

There is absolutely no doubt in my mind that if at any time during the Spencer investigation I became concerned or aware that the relationship between Michael Davidson and Shirley Spencer was anything other than professional, I would have responded in the same way I did in the past, and would have immediately reported it to the Sheriff. I took my responsibilities as a law enforcement officer very, very seriously and understood I had a legal

26

27

24

responsibility. In addition, I also felt I had a real moral responsibility to do what was right for everyone concerned, including those who were being accused.

It is hard for me to fathom how anyone could believe that I would have jeopardized my career, my reputation, or could have been so underhanded and deceitful that I would be a party to a conspiracy that would cause an innocent man to go to prison for 25 years so that two people could have an intimate relationship. That just did not happen.

INTERROGATORY NO. 19: Describe each and every conversation, meeting, or other contact, with Michael Davidson that you had regarding the investigation and allegations against Ray Spencer, prior to May 16, 1985. Please identify time and date of contact, the content of the conversation and identify each and every person present. Please describe specific tasks assigned to you by Michael Davidson in regard to the Ray Spencer investigation.

ANSWER: Objection, compound – this interrogatory consists of at least two discrete subparts, bringing the total number of interrogatories asked at this point to at least 25.

See answer to Interrogatory No. 13.

INTERROGATORY NO. 20: When did you learn that a medical examination of Kathryn Spencer (now Tetz) had been performed on August 30, 1984? Do you have any knowledge that the report of the medical examination of Kathryn Spencer (now Tetz) that was performed on August 30, 1984 was provided to the Clark County Prosecutor=s Office prior to May 16, 1995? If so, please explain. Do you know why the official index of the Clark County Sheriff=s Office listing the documents collected during the investigation of Ray Spencer dated November 8, 1985 does not list the report of Kathryn Spencer=s medical examination? Please explain.

ANSWER: Defendant Krause objects to responding to this and the remaining interrogatories and discrete subparts because plaintiff has not sought or obtained leave of Court as required by FRCP 33(a)(1) to serve additional interrogatories beyond the twenty-five, including discrete subparts, permitted by FRCP 33(a)(1).

1	VERIFICATION
2	
3	STATE OF ARIZONA) ss.
	COUNTY OF MOHAVE)
5	Sharon Krause, being first duly sworn, on oath deposes and says that she is a defendant herein and that she has read the within and foregoing Answers to Plaintiffs' First
6	Interrogatories to Sharon Krause, knows the contents thereof, and believes the same to be true.
7	Thayon Frause
8	Typed Name: Sharon Krause
9	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 291th day of October 2012.
10	11
11	NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of
12	ANTONIO SIERRA Notary Public - Arizona Arizona, residing at Bellhead City
13	ANTONIO SIERRA Notary Public - Arizona Mohave County My Comm. Expires Aug 5, 2013 Arizona, residing at
14	
15	CERTIFICATION
16	The undersigned attorney for defendant Sharon Krause has read the foregoing answers
17	and objections to interrogatories and they are in compliance with FRCP 26(g).
18	ANSWERS AND OBJECTIONS dated this Zletz day of October, 2012.
19	
20	Dung Sol WS&A#14777
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	;
27	

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AT TACOMA

CLYDE RAY SPENCER, MATTHEW) RAY SPENCER, and KATHRYN E.) TETZ, Plaintiffs, NO. 3:11-cb-05424-BHS VS. FORMER PROSECUTING ATTORNEY) FOR CLARK COUNTY JAMES M. PETERS, DETECTIVE SHARON KRAUSE, SERGEANT MICHAEL DAVIDSON, CLARK COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE, CLARK) COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, THE) COUNTY OF CLARK and JOHN DOES) ONE THROUGH TEN. Defendants.

DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF JAMES MICHAEL DAVIDSON

Monday, November 5, 2012 Olympia, Washington

		16
1	office?	
2	A I assume that those are contained within the file that was	
3	provided to me from him.	
4	Q Right. And you have reviewed those documents?	
5	A To the best of my ability, yes, ma'am.	
6	Q Now, in that first meeting, just for purposes of my	
7	question, assume it occurred on September 21, 1984. What	
8	was the purpose of the Spencers' trip or visit to your	
9	office? What was your understanding of why they were	
0	coming to your office?	
1	A It was in response to the to the report that	
2	Mrs. Spencer had initiated.	
3	Q When you say Mrs. Spencer had initiated a report, what's	
4	the basis of that conclusion that she initiated it?	
5	A My recollection is, is that we received a report from one	
6	of our patrol deputies in which she had initiated a call to	
7	our office regarding improper sex or improper conduct	
8	with a minor child.	
9	Q And you believed that Shirley Spencer called in and	
0.	reported that alleged improper conduct to your office?	
1	A Yes, ma'am.	
22	Q Did you assign anyone to the case when that call that	
23	you're describing was made?	
4	A First of all, again, let me restate that it was not based	
25	upon the call. It was based upon the report that was	

		17
1	forwarded to my office from the deputy that responded to	
2	that call.	
3	Q And what was the deputy's name who responded to that call?	
4	A I don't know that that's even contained in this file, but I	
5	don't recall the deputy's name.	
6	Q Okay. So a written report came in and you reviewed the	
7	report?	
8	A Correct.	
9	Q And the patrol deputy had interviewed Shirley Spencer?	
0	A I believe that's correct, yes, ma'am.	
1	Q And what was your understanding at that point in time –	
2	and that was in the summer of 1984. What was your	
3	understanding of the allegations or report that Shirley	
4	Spencer was making?	
5	A There was some indication that there was some improper	
6	touching of a minor child.	
7	Q By whom who had been doing the improper touching in the	
8	report that you looked at?	
9	A Mr. Spencer.	
0	Q Did the child allege that anyone else had been engaged in	
1	improper touching?	
2	A At that particular point in time, I don't recall anybody	
23	else being indicated.	
4	Q So the first time you're notified about the case or become	
25	aware of it is through your patrol deputy's report, and	

		18
1	that report describes Shirley Spencer calling into your	
2	office about improper touching of a minor child by Ray	
3	Spencer; is that correct?	
4	A That's yes, ma'am.	
5	Q So when you received that information, do you assign a	
6	detective to the case?	
7	A I did, yes.	
8	Q Okay. And who do you assign?	
9	A Well, in this – are we talking this particular instance or	
0	any instance?	
1	Q No, we're just talking about the call that came in from	
2	Shirley Spencer. Did you make the assignment the	
3	allegations against Ray Spencer, did you make an assignment	
4	of that case to any detective	
5	A Yes, I did.	
6	Q that you were supervising?	
7	And was that Detective Sharon Krause?	
8	A It was, yes.	
9	Q Why did you assign Sharon Krause as the detective to handle	
20	the claims about Ray Spencer?	
21	A I can't state at that point that I had a specific reason.	
22	I oftentimes reviewed caseloads, reviewed the nature of the	
23	allegation, and would assign an investigator because of	
24	their particular expertise.	
25	Q And did Sharon Krause have in 1984 did she have any	

	19
1	particular expertise?
2	A She did.
3	Q And what was her expertise?
4	A Child abuse investigations.
5	Q Had you assigned, up to that point in time, other child
6	abuse cases to her?
7	A I had, yes.
8	Q Okay. And do you know approximately how many cases you'd
9	assigned to her up, until 1984, of alleged sexual abuse of
10	a child?
11	A No, ma'am, I could not tell you.
12	Q More than 50?
13	A Again, I wouldn't even I'd hesitate to speculate.
14	Q Okay. Well, you might hesitate to speculate, but we have
15	no idea the number of these types of cases that your
16	department was handling in 1984, so if you could just give
17	me an approximation. I mean, did you have more than 100
18	cases a year in Clark County at that time?
19	A I'm certain that we did, yes, ma'am.
20	Q Okay. Did you have more than 200?
21	A Again, speculative only, I'm certain that we did.
22	Q So what do you direct Sharon Krause as to what you want
23	her to do in the initial investigation of the Ray Spencer
24	case?
25	A First of all, I'm not certain that I understand what you're

A I don't recall whether she went to the house and did an

	37
1	Q What was the date of when you moved into her house?
2	A I can't be specific with that, but it would have been
3	probably fall of 1985.
4	Q I'm assuming when you move into her house, that's not when
5	the relationship transforms from Mrs. Spencer as a
6	professional or as a witness into a personal
7	relationship, right? You've already got the relationship
8	by the time you move into the house in the fall of 1985?
9	A We're talking personal relationship now; is that correct?
10	Q Correct.
11	A My first recollection is, is that we had a social encounter
12	sometime the end of June, the first part of July, which
13	involved having a drink at a restaurant called Waddles in
14	Portland.
15	Q And that was you say, in June or July of 1985?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Okay. And how did that social encounter get set up? Who
18	contacted who?
19	A My recollection is that she came into the office and
20	specifically to see Detective Krause to thank her for all
21	of her efforts and hard work. If memory serves me correct,
22	Detective Krause was not in the office at that time so she
23	stopped by my office. I was in the office.
24	Q What did she say?
25	A I can't recall the specific conversation, but during the

	47
1	Q Well, you've had an opportunity to look at this material.
2	You have no sense of why he was arrested the first time,
3	what the evidence was?
4	A I have the information that's provided in the report, which
5	would indicate that the allegations were made by his
6	daughter, Kathryn Spencer.
7	Q Was there any other evidence that you're aware of, from
8	reviewing the materials you've been provided, to what was
9	the basis for the probable cause to arrest him the first
10	time?
11	A I believe there's an indication that he'd taken a polygraph
12	examination. I don't know that that factored into the
13	decision-making process. Again, I can't answer what all of
14	the factors were considered in making the determination —
15	Q Okay. Well, let's look at
16	A to arrest him.
17	Q Oh, I'm sorry. Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 in the
18	materials that I sent you.
19	A Okay. We're referring to the report by Stanley Abrams,
20	Ph.D.?
21	Q Yes. Is it your understanding that Dr. Abrams gave two
22	polygraph tests to Ray Spencer?
23	A That's my understanding, yes, ma'am.
24	Q And the first test, Dr. Abrams concluded, was inconclusive;
25	is that right?

	48
1	A That's what's reflected in the report, yes.
2	Q Well, you don't have anything other than his report, right?
3	You don't have any information for us about the polygraph
4	other than what's in the report?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q Okay. Now, the second test, he finds that there's
7	deception, correct?
8	A That's what's indicated again in the report, yes.
9	Q Right. But Spencer's scores were not very high, so the
10	examiner does not feel as certain about the validity of
11	these findings as in most examinations? You are aware of
12	that conclusion by Dr. Abrams?
13	A That's again what he has prepared in his prepared report
14	that's what it indicates, correct.
15	Q So you would agree with me that polygraph exam I mean,
16	we all know it's not admissible, but did not factor into
17	any probable cause analysis for Ray Spencer's first arrest?
18	A Again, I'm going to respond that I can't – I don't know
19	what all of the factors were that were involved with the
20	probable cause to arrest Mr. Spencer. I wasn't involved in
21	that decision-making process.
22	Q Do you know okay. Do you know other than are you
23	aware, as you sit here today, of any other evidence that
24	would have established probable cause for the arrest of Ray
25	Spencer the first time?

STANLEY ABRAMS. PH.D. Clinical Psychologist

GDDD SANARITAN MEDICAL BUILDING 2222 N.W. LOVEJOY - SUITE 401 PORTLAND, OREGON 97210 (503) 221-0632

October 11, 1984

Sgt. Michael Davidson Clark County-Sheriff's Office Vancouver, WA

Re: Raymond Spencer

Dear Sgt. Davidson:

A polygraph examination was administered to the above named subject at your request on September 21. An attempt was made to determine if he were truthful in his denial of ever having any sexual contact with his daughter Katherine. To ascertain this, the following critical questions were asked:

- 1. Have you ever fondled your daughter's genitals? No
- Regarding your daughter, have you ever had any oral sexual contact with her? No
- 3. Have you ever attempted to penetrate your daughter? No

The test was composed of eleven questions and was repeated five times. Despite the additional administrations of the test, the findings had to be considered inconclusive. Numerically, there was a slight trend in the direction of deception, but again, a definite decision could not be reached. Because of this Officer Spencer was reexamined on September 24. This time the critical questions consisted of:

- 1. Have you at any time had oral sex with Katherine? No
- Regarding Katherine, have you ever had oral sex with her? No
- 3. In so far as oral sexual contact is concerned, has there ever been any with your daughter? No

This test was made up of ten questions and was administered three times. The subject demonstrated consistently greater physiologic responses on the three critical questions listed above as compared to the control items. While this was sufficient to be indicative of deception, Officer Spencer's scores were not very high so that the examiner does not feel as certain about the validity of these findings as in most examinations. Hopefully, further corroboration of these results will be obtained.

Coxdially,

Stanley Aprams, Ph.D.

EXHIBIT

Spencer000055

CORRECTION SHEET

DEPOSITION OF: James Michael Davidson

DATE: 11/5/2012

CASE: Clyde Ray Spencer, et al. v. Former Prosecuting Attorney for Clark County

James M. Peters, et al.

REPORTER: Dixie Cattell, CCR, RPR

Instructions: Please carefully read your deposition and on this correction sheet make any changes or corrections in form or substance that you feel should be made. You may add additional sheets, if necessary. After completing this form, please sign your name in this space provided. Please do not mark the transcript. Thank you.

PAGE NO./LINE NO.	CORRECTION	REASON FOR CORRECTION
Page 6, line 16	I lack approximately 30 hours for my BS degree	Part of initial response not included or not understood
Page 9, line 10	Patrol or Detective	Initial response incomplete or not understood.
Page 29, line 25	Replace "she' with "it".	She is incorrect as it refers "personally" rather than citing the report.
Page 36, line 22	"Convicted"	Initial response inaccurate or not
		misunderstood.
Page 42, line 17	July 1986	Correct "1985
Page 47, line 15-16	Unknown	Question and answer appear incomplete.
Page 48, line 19	Error in sentence structure.	Strike, "that were"
Page 58, line 21	Incomplete answer.	"Detective Krause"
Page 64, lines 11-19	-24 Creek	Omitted
Page 65, lines 3-7	Creek	Omitted
Page 67, line 13	Creek	Omitted

I, the undersigned, James Michael Davidson, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing deposition, and that, to the best of my knowledge, said deposition is true and accurate (with the exception of the corrections listed above).

EXHIBIT C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

AT TACOMA

```
CLYDE RAY SPENCER, MATTHEW RAY )
SPENCER and KATHRYN E. TETZ, )

Plaintiffs, )

vs. ) No. 11-cv-05424-BHS
)
FORMER DEPUTY PROSECUTING )
ATTORNEY FOR CLARK COUNTY JAMES )
M. PETERS, DETECTIVE SHARON )
KRAUSE and SERGEANT MICHAEL )
DAVIDSON, )

Defendants. )
```

VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION

OF

SHIRLEY JEAN SPENCER

DATE TAKEN: December 6, 2012

TIME: 9:00 a.m.

PLACE: 613 W. 11th Street Vancouver, Washington

COURT REPORTER: Teresa L. Rider, CRR, RPR, CCR

Rider & Associates, Inc.

360.693.4111

that.

MR. DUNN: We have copies of these in our files.

MR. BOGDANOVICH: In the meantime, I brought a set that we can probably try to work from so that we don't have to wait. Let's get the fax going, and then we'll resume.

MS. FETTERLY: The fax is 360-357-5761.

MR. BOGDANOVICH: Ms. Zellner, until we get the documents the way you've grouped them, I think we're all familiar with the way they were paginated as 1 of 8 or 22 of 22. If you go into the groups, if you can just kind of try to identify them by subject and how many pages, we can probably figure out how to follow along.

MS. ZELLNER: Sure. The entire packet I want marked as group Exhibit A, and then there are various tabs to group Exhibit A.

BY MS. ZELLNER:

- Q. But I want to start, Ms. Spencer, with your handwritten letter. You do have that?
 - A. I have it.
- Q. So what I'd like to do is -- because it is handwritten, have you read it out loud. We'll go two or three sentences at a time and then stop and let me ask you any questions, then we'll go a little bit further.

We'll just work our way through it slowly because it's an important document in the case.

So tell me the circumstances under which you decided to write a letter.

- A. Kathryn Spencer wanted me to do sexual favors for her and she wanted to do sexual favors to me. And it was on an evening of watching a video movie with her and Big Matt and Little Matt. Her dad was gone.
- Q. How long and you said that the visit lasted six weeks. How far into the visit do you think that was? And was it a week or two or three weeks?
- A. It was just two days before she went home, so it was the end of the sixth week.
- Q. And up to the point of this event that happens on -- and I believe it's August 24th, 1984, had Kathryn done anything that seemed of a sexual nature to you up to that point?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Did you notice any behavior on her part that you would characterize as being sexual in nature?
- A. She seemed to be a very sexual little girl for a five-year-old. Always want to go sit on men's lap and hanging on him and hugging on him and wanting to kiss on him and stuff, just kind of unusual for me. I've never seen that before.

25 Q. And is that -- did you notice that fairly soon 1 after she arrived? 2 A. Yeah, pretty much the whole time she was there, 3 yes. 4 Q. And which men did you see Kathryn act that way 5 around? 6 A. Her dad, my sons, friends of Ray's that would 8 come over. Q. Anybody else? 9 A. I can't think of anybody. It really didn't 10 happen with women, except, you know, she liked to be 11 hugged. 12 Q. So leading up to this evening on Friday, August 13 24th, you'd made those observations about her. 14 Let's just start out, if you could read into 15 the record, your words. Let's go like three sentences 16 and then I can ask questions. 17 A. Friday, August 24th, 1984, about 9:00 p.m. The 18 kids all wanted to sleep on the floor in the living 19 room, front room and watch a videotape as they had the 20 21 night before. Q. Okay. And let me ask you, up until this 22 evening, it sounds like you had done this before with 23 them where you lie on the floor and you watch a video, 24 25 right?

- A. You know, I honestly can't recall of how many times that was or would have been. I know for sure two, you know.
- Q. And the other time that that happened, I'm assuming that there was no sexual activity or attempts on Kathryn's part --
 - A. No.

- Q. is that right?
- A. That's right.
- Q. Okay. So continue on. While they were watching --
- A. While they were watching the cartoon, I took a shower. When I finished, I put on a movie and Kathryn and Big Matt asked me to lay between them on the floor while they watched the movie. Ray was at work.
- Q. When you did lie on the floor between them, how were you dressed at that point?
 - A. I would have had my pajamas and bathrobe on.
 - Q. Okay. So continue from there.
- A. Around 10:00 or 10:30, the boys fell asleep.

 Kathryn asked me if she could rub my tummy, which was normal for we all rubbed each others backs, legs, feet and tummies, et cetera. Sometimes it was a whole family project.
 - Q. Tell me a little bit more about that. Tell me

the circumstances under which that was happening. Was that in the evening or was that -- explain that to me.

- A. Anytime the kids were, you know, might want to go to bed or were going to bed, you know, they liked their backs and their tummies or their legs and feet rubbed, still kind of a practice we do today.
- Q. And that was something that you and Mr. Spencer did to the children. They also did that to you?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. If you want to continue.
- A. While she rubbed my tummy, she slid her hand up and tried to expose my top a few times and I said,
 Kathryn, and then she paid close attention then I paid close attention. She would put her arm across my chest and then try to move my robe and feel my breasts and sneak to see if Big Matt was watching.
- Q. Okay. So just so I can visualize that a little bit better, when you say she put her arm across your chest, so she's reaching you've got on your bathrobe and under your pajamas; is that right?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. And she reaches across you at some point?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Is she trying to undo your robe?
 - A. No, she was like reach across and hug me, like

(indicating). You're laying beside somebody and you throw your arm across their chest, that way, like a hug.

- Q. All right. And then you say she tries to move your robe and feel your breast. What do you specifically remember her doing, like, how did she try to remove your robe?
- A. She kind of pushed up under and moving it apart up under my pajamas, because she was move your hand down, trying to move it. I don't know how to explain it, other than that.
- Q. So she does that and then you see her look over at Pat. Was Matt asleep, Big Matt, was he asleep?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Okay. So let's continue on, then.
- A. Then she tried to slide her hand back to my tummy or let's see. She slid her hand back to my tummy, and all of a sudden she slid her hand down to my front. Startled, I said, Kathryn. And she jerked her hand away.
- Q. So kind of describe, just with a little more detail, kind of describe that movement that she makes so that we can understand.
- A. Well, rubbing my tummy and she tries to put her hand down my front real quick.
 - Q. Okay. And when you say she tried to put her

A. I don't know. I couldn't tell you that. I can't remember.

- Q. But you're sure that you're sure that she used that word pee pee?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Okay. And then she asked you if she could rub your pee pee and when I'm done will you rub my pee pee. She said, it feels good. Can I? Now, was she speaking in complete sentences when she said that to you or was she gesturing? I'm just trying to get a sense of her level of expression.
- A. I think she was saying it in complete sentences. I don't know, ma'am. It's so long ago, I can't remember exactly. I don't remember exactly what she said and did.
- Q. Okay. And was this the only occasion in your life, other than with your son, Matt Hansen, that any child had reported sexual abuse to you? Had you ever been in that situation before?
- A. Other than what happened to me, no, never been in that situation with my older children, ever.
- Q. And did this what she was doing, did it remind you of anything that had happened to you as a child?
 - A. Actually not. It just shocked me.

Q. And other than saying that she wants you to rub

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

32 her pee pee and pushing your hand down below her tummy, does she do anything else that would indicate that she wants some type of sexual contact with you? A. Other than that, that's it. Q. I'm just trying to see if there's anything else that you remember. All right? So if we start in where she again said, Karen and my mommy, do you see that? A. Okay. Q. Would you read those three sentences? A. Again or after that, after my mommy? Q. Just start reading where you left off. A. And my mommy let me rub their titties and their pee pee. At that I started questioning her about Karen and her mommy, and then she told me her daddy was always hurting her and Karen was -- oh, no -- my dad was away hunting and Karen was laying on the bed with Kathryn. Karen had Kathryn untie her robe and rub her tummy then her breasts then she let her rub her pee pee. Q. Okay. And again, this is referring to Karen Stone; is that right? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Now, when Kathryn told you about this sexual activity between herself and Karen, did you believe her?

A. I didn't know what to think. I was shocked. I

A. She's the one that --

	33
was upset, yeah. I wasn't sure what was going on. No	
reason	
Q. Did she seem like she was telling the truth	
about Karen Stone?	
A. I, you know, can't tell you.	
Q. During those six weeks that you were with her	
in 1984, did you ever catch her in any type of lie about	
anything?	
A. No.	
Q. Did she ever make up stories in your presence	
that you found out later were not true?	
A. None that I know of.	
Q. So after she tells you about Karen Stone, what	
happens after that?	
A. You want me to read some more?	
Q. Yeah.	
A. I asked her then what she said, Karen once	
rubbed her pee pee.	
Q. Tell me what kind of questions were you asking	
her to get her to open up to you and talk.	
A. Just what I have written here, nothing else.	
Q. Okay. Well, it just says that you asked	
questions, but I wondered if you remember how you got	
her to open up and talk about Karen Stone.	

- Q. Did you ask her I'm sorry. I cut you off.Go ahead.
- A. No. She just volunteered that information. I didn't ask her that.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you think that Karen Stone molested Kathryn Spencer?
- A. I had no idea. I wasn't sure who did what, just from what Kathryn told me. That's all I knew. I had never been involved in this kind of thing before, so it was new to me.
- Q. And the letter was written up, was it not, at Ray Spencer's request?
 - A. Absolutely not.

- Q. Tell me about that.
- A. I called CPS or Child Protective Services and told them what she had said, and they asked me to write it down. I asked them if I should get some batteries and record it because I had a recorder, and they said, no, it wouldn't told hold up, just to write it down and they'd have an officer pick up the paperwork. Ray wasn't even home.
- Q. So you make the first call to Child Protective Services. Do you call the next morning after that episode with Kathryn?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

you inappropriately or does she just volunteer DeAnne's

A. She said, no.

Do you want me to read more?

- Q. Yeah. Yeah, let's go all the way to --
- A. Pardon?

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Yeah, continue, please.
- A. She rubbed it other times when it didn't need medicine. And again asked me if I would rub her pee pee. I said I would rub her back and her tummy, not her pee pee.
 - Q. Okay. Let's continue on.
- A. She then said, daddy let's me rub his pee pee and he rubs my pee pee. That really tore me up. So I kept it light as we watched the videotape and tried to question her more. I asked her where the boys were when this happened and she said asleep.

Do you want me to go on?

- Q. Yeah, let's stop at that point. When she says daddy, do you know at that point if she's referring to Ray Spencer or she's referring to someone back at her mother's home in Sacramento?
- A. I only knew of Ray, her own dad. I didn't know that there was any person in Sacramento.
- Q. And I ask that question because you said she was calling you mommy, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So did you think at that point that she was

referring to Ray Spencer or you just didn't know at that point?

- A. I thought she was referring to Ray Spencer. I didn't know of anybody else.
 - Q. Okay. Can you continue on?

- A. I asked where the boys were when this happened and she said asleep. I asked her where I was and she said you were at work. I asked her how many times, one, two or three, and she said a whole bunch. She said daddy told her not to tell. I said, then, why are you telling me, Kathryn?
 - Q. Let's go a little bit further.
- A. She said, I wanted you to know. I said, are you going to tell your mommy, DeAnne? And she said, no, she would never do that. I asked her why? She said mommy I asked her why, she said mommy would laugh at me. I asked her if she was going to tell anyone else, and she said no.
- Q. Okay. So are you asking her if she is going to tell anyone?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then she's telling you, no, that she isn't going to tell anybody, right?
 - A. Right. Do you want me to go on?
 - Q. Yeah.

A. Ray came home from work and I didn't know what to do or say. I never came up against anything like this before. I was scared for Kathryn. Many things ran through my mind: What to do? What to say? How to say it? But I just couldn't do or say anything to him until I talked with Kathryn more.

The next day Ray left for work. I took the kids to the beach. While the boys swam, Kathryn laid on the blanket to keep warm and we talked some more. She said the same story about her mom and Karen and went into more detail about her dad and her big — and Big Matt.

Q. Okay. So let me just stop you there becauseI'm trying to figure out in the sequence.

We know that this event with Kathryn occurs on Friday, August 24th. Is it on Saturday that you write this letter up or do you remember?

A. Yeah, I don't remember the day of the week. I just remember it was, like, the 24th. I don't remember the day of the week, though. I'm assuming it was a Saturday because I probably wouldn't have been able to take them to the beach. I'd have been working.

- Q. And it appears that by Saturday that Ray is home; is that right?
 - A. I don't know that it was Saturday. It was the

41 to do or say. 1 Q. And would it be a fair statement to say at that 2 point you didn't really know whether Kathryn was telling 3 you the truth or she just made all of this up? 4 A. I wouldn't have had a clue where it all came 5 from. I wouldn't assume she would lie. I didn't know 6 her to lie, but I just didn't have a clue where it all 7 came from. It was such a shock. Q. And you would agree that she's describing 9 10 multiple abusers to you, right? A. Yes, ma'am. 11 Q. And that's similar, I think, to what happened 12 to you, right, not just one person, but there's multiple 13 14 abusers? A. That has nothing to do with me. But, yes. 15 Q. Well, wouldn't you be more sensitized to this 16 issue, though, having been abused yourself? 17 MR. DUNN: I'm going to object to that type of 18 questioning. You're asking for conclusions or opinions 19 about matters that she's not qualified to give and 20 doesn't -- it would require her to speculate. It would 21 require her to speculate on matters that don't have 22

MS. ZELLNER: Your objection is noted for the record. This is a federal deposition. And unless

anything to do with evidence.

23

24

42 you're going to instruct her not to answer, I would ask 1 you to answer my question over his objection. 2 MR. DUNN: You can go ahead and answer. 3 THE WITNESS: Would you repeat it, now, please? 4 BY MS. ZELLNER: 5 Q. Sure. Do you believe that this -- at this 6 point when Kathryn was telling you these things about 7 being sexually abused by multiple abusers, that you 8 personally were very sensitive to this issue of abuse 9 10 because of your history? A. No, never entered my mind about me. 11 Q. Okay. All right. So let's continue on. 12 A. She said that Big Matt stuck his finger in her 13 sometimes. I asked her about any other men or women and 14 she said no, every time Big Matt came around she said, 15 shush, Matt's coming. She said, you won't tell dad, and 16 I said, no. And don't you say anything. She said dad 17 told me not to say anything -- to tell you. 18 Q. Okay. And you're still at the beach when she's 19 telling you all this information? 20 A. Yes, ma'am. 21 Q. And you hadn't written the letter yet, right? 22 23 A. No, ma'am. Q. And Ray has come home, but he's left for his 24

25

motorcycle conference.

A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. So then what else does she tell you? Let's continue on.
- A. Dad told me not to tell you and you tell me not to tell dad. I said that's a little different. She said, again -- she again asked me about why I wouldn't rub her pee pee. I couldn't make her feel dirty, so change the subject and she said -- let's see. I don't know where I'm at. Hang on.
 - Q. Sure. I think it says she said I rubbed you --
- A. Yeah. I'm sorry. She said, yeah, I rubbed you. I said, Kathryn, you rubbed my tummy, not my pee pee. And you --
 - Q. I think it says you touched it mom told you no.
- A. Yeah, you touched it and mom told you no. She said, I know, but can I, Mom, it feels good? I said, no, and started questioning her again.

And she said dad would lay on his back and she would lay on his tummy and they started out with dad -- oh, man, I'm having a hard time, I'm sorry, concentrating here -- and in his robe and shorts and in her nightie and panties. And then she said she took off her panties and dad, that -- slid daddy's down and he put his pee pee between her legs. I asked her then, what I said --

44 Q. Let's stop. There's a couple of things I want 1 to ask you about. 2 3 A. Okay. Q. You said when you began that last passage, it 4 says she said I rubbed you. I said, Kathryn, you rubbed 5 my tummy, not my pee pee. Am I reading that correctly? 6 A. That's right. 7 Q. So she's just said that you rubbed her and yet 8 you know that that's not true. All that was rubbed was 9 10 her tummy. 11 A. Was her tummy. Q. So right there in that one sentence, she's 12 telling you that you've also rubbed her and you're 13 telling her, no, that wasn't my pee pee. Do you 14 understand what I'm saying? She's actually 15 misconstruing what went on between you and her at that 16 17 point, because it specifically said, she said, I rubbed you. I said, Kathryn, you rubbed my tummy and not my 18 pee pee. Do you see that? 19 20 A. Right. Q. So you're correcting her when she says that she 21 had actually rubbed you, right? 22 MR. DUNN: I'm going to object to the form of 23 that question because it's argumentative and calls for 24 25 conclusions.

her directly, am I right?

46 1 A. Yes, ma'am. Q. And how long after you're on the beach do you 2 write this letter? 3 A. As soon as we got home. So we were down there 5 a couple of hours. Q. So when you get home, you write the letter from 6 your memory of what she told you on the beach and also at the house. 8 A. Yes, ma'am. Q. And you would agree with me that the letter is 10 fairly detailed, wouldn't you? 11 A. I think so. I tried to be accurate. 12 Q. And you tried to put in as much detail as you 13 could, right? 14 A. I put in only what she told me. 15 Q. Okay. So let's continue on, if you'll keep 16 reading. 17 A. She said, I don't know. I said, then what. 18 She said to her -- he then kissed her pee pee and she 19 20 kissed his and tried to or did put it in her mouth. I asked her if she ever got sore and she said yes. And I 21 said, from what? And she said - what's that word? --22 from -- and she said from rubbing it. I can't read my 23 24 own writing. 25 Q. Please continue.

A. I asked her if he said nice things to her and she said he kisses me and tells me he loves me and tells me I have a pretty bottom. I asked her if she liked this and she said, yes, and she loves her daddy. And does he do these things to me? And I said, that's different, Kathryn.

- Q. And so your testimony is that what you've got written here is exactly what Kathryn Spencer told you at the beach, right?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Okay. Let's continue. We've got about a paragraph to go.
- A. Kathryn feels good about all this. She likes it and wants it more. And she said she wants to know what it feels like to do more. I don't know how to tell her that this wasn't right without making her feel bad or dirty.

I asked her if she was telling me stories and she said, no. I said, you wouldn't tell me lies? She said, no. You're not making it up? No. I asked her if she had -- she was afraid of me and she said, yes. And I said, why? I've never -- I have never spanked you. Are you afraid of that? And she said, well, my mommy, DeAnne, would spank me and send me to my room. I said, you know I wouldn't do that. She said, I know. And I

said, then is this all so? And she said, yes.

I then got batteries, called Crises and asked them if I should tape this and they said it wouldn't do any good. It wouldn't hold up in court, so I didn't tape her.

Ray called and I told him then -- and then he took it to California Crisis when he got home.

Q. Go ahead.

- A. I told him before he got home, when he called and asked me what was wrong.
- Q. And you described everything that Kathryn had told you?
- A. When he called home, I was upset and all I said was Kathryn accused you of molesting her. And he said, Is that all? Oh, well, I'll take care of that when I get home.
- Q. Well, Kathryn actually had accused him of molesting her. She'd accused her mother, DeAnne Spencer, of molesting her. She'd accused Matt Spencer of molesting her and she had accused Karen Stone of molesting her, right?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. So did you tell Ray Spencer in that phone conversation that she'd accused all those other people of molesting her?

49 1 A. I don't remember. Q. Did you tell Ray Spencer that you had written a 2 letter? A. I don't remember what I said on the phone 4 anymore. 5 Q. Okay. But I want to make sure that your 6 testimony under oath is that Ray Spencer did not tell 7 you to write up what Kathryn had said to him. Am I 8 correct, he did not tell you that? 9 A. Absolutely not. He did not tell me that. CPS 10 11 told me that. Q. So when you reference CPS in that last sentence 12 13 that you just read me, and it says, I then got 14 batteries, called the crisis line because I didn't know what to do. I asked crisis line if I should tape 15 Kathryn. She said it wouldn't do any good, wouldn't 16 17 hold up in court, so I didn't tape her. Would you agree with me that you don't document 18 the fact that the Crisis worker told you to write up the 19 20 allegations that Kathryn made? 21 A. I guess I didn't --Q. I don't see it. 22 A. I guess I didn't document that, but they told 23 24 me to write it up, write it down. Q. Is there any reason you didn't write that down? 25

		50	
1	A. I didn't think about writing it down, I guess.		
2	Q. Okay. So when does Ray come home?		
3	A. He came home the next day after the kids left.		
4	Q. Now, you knew the kids were going to return to		
5	Sacramento, right?		
6	A. I did.		
7	Q. Okay. And you knew that Kathryn had accused		
8	her own mother of molesting her, right?		
9	A. I did.		
10	Q. And did you attempt to contact anybody before		
11	Ray got home in Sacramento, since Kathryn was going home		
12	to her mother?		
13	A. No.		
14	Q. So when Ray gets home, tell me as best you can		
15	about your conversation with him, what you said to him,		
16	what he said to you, and does he come home on Saturday		
17	or Sunday?		
18	A. I don't remember whether it was Saturday or		
19	Sunday. I think it was Sunday, but I don't remember for		
20	sure.		
21	Q. Okay. So when he comes home, is your letter		
22	already written?		
23	A. Yeah. Yes, ma'am.		
24	Q. So do you show Mr. Spencer your letter?		
25	A. Yes, he read the letter.		

102 Krause in her office? 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. In that meeting, do you remember her asking you if Matt had ever complained about his penis hurting or 4 rectum? Do you remember her asking you those questions? 5 A. Yeah, about his bottom hurting, because he had 6 complained about his bottom hurting or tummy hurting. 7 Q. And had Little Matt's complaints about his 8 bottom and his tummy hurting, had they been after 9 February 16th, after the Salmon Creek? 10 A. Yeah, it was after the birthday, I'm pretty 11 12 sure. 13 Q. Did you take Little Matt for a medical exam at a certain point in time? 14 A. Yes, I did. 15 Q. What were the results of the medical exam on 16 Little Matt? 17 A. I really wasn't privy to that information. He 18 just said it was hard to tell in a child that small 19 because their muscles are so flexible, strong, whatever 20 he said. I don't remember the exact words he said. 21 Q. Was it your idea to take Little Matt for the 22 exam or did Detective Krause recommend it? 23 A. Detective Krause recommended it. 24 Q. And after Little Matt's medical exam, did you 25

103 talk to Detective Krause about the medical findings on 1 Little Matt? 2 A. Well, I didn't know the medical findings. I just said I had taken him. And all I know is what he said that it was hard to tell, but he didn't tell me the 5 results. Q. Okay. We're talking about the doctor? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. The doctor did not tell you that he was 10 observing injury, though, correct? 11 A. He didn't tell me one way or another. Q. Did you ever follow up to find out from 12 13 Detective Krause about what the doctor said in his 14 medical report? A. No. 15 O. Did Detective Davidson also know about the 16 medical exam of Little Matt? 17 A. I have no idea. I wasn't really talking with 18 Mike Davidson, just Sharon Krause, so I have no idea 19 20 what he knew. Q. So going back to your interaction with Sharon 21 Krause, and we're in that time period about February 22 22nd, did you at a certain point in time conclude that 23 Little Matt had been sexually molested by Ray Spencer? 24 A. Did I conclude that he was? 25

-	
4	A. He called and asked me if I'd like to meet him
2	for a drink. And that was in June of '85.
3	Q. And why is it that you started dating Michael
4	Davidson?
5	A. I don't know. I don't have an answer for that.
6	I just met him and talked to him, and I guess I liked
7	him then, liked our conversation. And I guess I just
8	needed, like I said, somebody to lean on.
9	Q. When you say that you talked to him, were you
10	having phone conversations with him before June?
11	A. No.
12	Q. So did you at any point meet Michael Davidson's
13	wife, Linda Davidson?
14	A. I saw her one time, one time only, and it was
15	at her I'm trying to think if it was a son or a
16	daughter I think it was a son's wedding. That's the
17	only time I ever saw her. And we never really had a
18	conversation. I met her. That was it.
19	Q. Did you ever go to Michael Davidson's house
20	prior to him moving into your house?
21	A. No, I didn't even know where he lived.
22	Q. Is there any other reason that you became
23	involved with Michael Davidson, other than what you've
24	just told us?
25	A. No, because I didn't even like him in the

144 A. Yes, ma'am. 1 MS. ZELLNER: Objection. She said she didn't 2 know what the organization was and then you told her. 3 MS. FETTERLY: She said she didn't know then 4 but she knows now. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know. Now, what was the 6 question? Would you repeat it? I'm sorry. 7 (Last question read by reporter.) 8 THE WITNESS: I didn't understand that then. I 9 didn't even know about it then, but I know this now, 10 what it's for. But nobody ever said anything to me 11 12 about it. MS. FETTERLY: Thank you. I have no further 13 14 questions. 15 **EXAMINATION** 16 BY MR. BOGDANOVICH: 17 Q. Ms. Spencer, I'm Guy Bogdanovich. I represent 18 Sharon Krause. 19 I would like to know whether you have ever 20 reviewed the typewritten reports that Sharon Krause 21 prepared, either documenting her interviews with you or 22 her interviews with your son, Matt. 23 A. Yes, I've read them. 24 Q. Okay. When did you read those for the first 25

SHIRLEY JEAN SPENCER 12.06.12 145 time? 1 2 A. I don't remember reading any of those reports until I got subpoenaed. If I did, I don't remember 3 them. 5 Q. Do you remember reading -- go ahead. A. And I don't remember just now, but over the 6 7 last eight months or so. Q. You reviewed them initially around the time 8 that your deposition was taken many years ago and then you reviewed them again in the last -- what? -- in the 10 last eight months? 11 A. Yeah, is what I remember. 12 13 Q. Okay. If you recall, specifically do you remember reviewing a report where Detective Krause 14 documented all of the difference communications and 15 contacts she had with your husband, Ray, or with you or 16 17 with both of you together? A. No, I don't remember her interviewing the two 18 19 of us together. I don't remember. Q. And I don't mean -- I don't mean to use 20 interview in a formal or technical sense. 21 22 She has a report, for example, that she documented that there was a phone call that occurred 23 between either her or Ray. And there's the same report 24

that contains information about the two occasions where

146 1 you and Ray went into the sheriff's office for Ray to 2 take polygraph examinations. Do you remember --A. I did read those. 3 Q. In your review of that report, did you find any inaccuracies in what Detective Krause was reporting? 5 A. No. sir. 6 Q. You recall specifically, though, one of the polygraph incident reports documented in many quotations 8 of statements made by Ray in anger, where he was using the F word repeatedly, and she recorded those 10 11 accurately? 12 A. Yes, I remember those. Q. And then specifically do you remember reviewing 13 a 22-page report that documented Detective Krause's 14 15 interactions with you and then her interview with your 16 son, Matt, in February of 1985? 17 A. I remember reading those, yes. Q. And again, I'd ask you the same question, did 18 you find any inaccuracies in the way Detective Krause 19 20 documented what was said during those events? 21 A. No, I didn't find any inaccuracies. Q. One of Detective Krause's report documented 22 23 something you told her about Leo Clark calling you at some point and you told Detective Krause that he "got in 24 25 your face." Do you remember that incident?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

151 MS. FETTERLY: I'd ask the reporter to hand Ms. Spencer the document that has now been marked as Exhibit B. THE WITNESS: I have it. **EXAMINATION** BY MS. FETTERLY: Q. And Ms. Spencer, can you take a look at that document, and just -- I just want you to verify that that is actually a copy of the handwritten statement you made on or about August 25th, 1984, which documents your conversation with Kathryn Spencer of August 24 and 25, 1984; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Is that a true and accurate copy of your original notes --A. Exactly. Q. - documenting those conversations? A. Exactly. Q. Just so the record was clear, in the earlier portion of your deposition, there was some rather extensive questioning by Ms. Zellner concerning your handwritten document. And previously the record had stated that that document was Tab A-1. Do you recall that testimony where you were questioned about that

Case 3:11-cv-05424-BHS Document 140-1 Filed 01/16/13 Page 60 of 67 SHIRLEY JEAN SPENCER 12.06.12

		152
1	handwritten document at some length?	
2	A. Yeah, I remember. Ours just said exhibits.	
3	Q. And you read extensively in response to Ms.	
4	Zellner's question from that document.	
5	A. Yes, ma'am.	
6	Q. Is that the document you read from earlier in	
7	your deposition the document that's been marked as	
8	Exhibit B?	
9	A. Yes, ma'am.	
10	MS. FETTERLY: Thank you. I wanted to clarify	
11	that for the record.	
12	(Deposition concluded at 2:12 p.m.)	
13		
4		
15		
16		
7		
8		
9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

84-8506

Exhibit B

12.6.12
Date: S. Spencer
Rider & Associates
800-869-0864

Spencer-05222

	*; ** ***		84-8506	
(5)		<i>i</i>		
day	~ 1 1/11	ent, Start	Ged il Sau her Trave	
- Kaln awa	ryn, Girl J., Shi Si	ud monn	ul Can	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Sath	Lyour fi	eepig Ils	aid ma	The land
Reef	Ree and	When I'm	1 dough	
Said	Jell J	gof Redst.	1. She	
- Said I I Si	gid no il	Wellylou	this per	
and	Lummy /	ting Shi	Messee Nantia	/
() ME	to do thu	I ff It I	est so go	gd
144	To fully	I topher	Dec Jes 2	2
aggo	M4, MO	noggiation	mezul	
- Thu	s titles a tarted qui	rid Delple	Wy ALTY	
- Haro	ntrub A	BAMANI.	Sheteld 21 Sunti	! !
and	Lasen Was	laying a	All Light	
ust	ichefroly	and sus	This I	
Lums - State &	ng ryb sher	Belfel. I	spim sne. Lashedhe	·
! then	What an	ll she saw	Marin	

1	ay an
	84-8506
()6)	
	n show Many
- Times ded Light sta	phen Anchelle
agfeld. It Tiffen	aspld her alouty
MB M m Diffic So	rife fliefly much.
Isis same thinks	allat the Brutich
lach others tuny	mys-Tiffs and
- Legall Islaid	de as this could
When mommelo	Glat Meddlar
on House Del Def!	Caulifit Was
Soft She Said A	n. Shi Guft (di
it the times i	White it didn't
Mud Miguaric,	An again askin
my if if you	Part- (ff) Coffee fle Collection
ISJULIOU	la syl sics lack
and Tyminul M	of sign specific.
Shight full filled	Saddy feli Mil
SUTAN JECKE	and sichuld sid
- SIGHE STATING	all for Milly
	Ant as wollardia
- IN Media and	Topped to Gullion
M.C. Mort stas	bedfles lights
- MU STIS SUPE	When thet pagaine
and she said a	Ulfre If and I !
- his where I fe	Igs find she said
at work asked	Mus Many,
- Continued for of the	she said a starce
- Muly Spy Safe	" dapag da pros
- Mot Willie Il	aid this why
•	

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	84-8506
are you telling surk	athun Ils
Said se Warted How	takifiya I
Month of Golding	nd shifted
119 Spe Would her	b.do. Lhaf.
Masked her Willy	she said
I dasportius if shick	Das-going
10 Sell anifor lich	m work
and ill didn't knowler	ratte do,
Canuthing less for	ne up against
Squed for Lattings, It	uf, Many
Toda What is say to	nens figurat
it, but if full Could pit.	do printing
- anything fell of talking	Willigathrys!
left of Work of top	the kids to
the beach, Albus the	miket to
mad Said his Said	thed fone
Mart. She said his said	arenapia -
-0- Went into sort delail	about her -
and what sub and -;	019-111au-

	84-8506
. 0 3	
1 Shi	Said Sig Mathestuck piss
fing	es in sig son Chimid. I albed
(hes)	Soit and Englithmen Bin Mass
Case	abound She Said Sund Entatte
	mine Shi Said you wentitell
das	and susaid majand graft
Jay.	Say any Thuring she saw dad.
- In the	SILMIT TOTALLY GOOD GOOD GOOD
Fliat	A lette del erejet Sou again.
alba	I me atrible yby y wouldn't
1 (1 Syl	Sur perfel Il (gulari man
MA	feet dille so thanged for
July 1	aid Lathryn Haw sulfid My
Lyni	my not my (flefee. If any
(CJU)	I same it facts and it days
	mid started questioning her
agai	
0021	ly lack and Sof would lay
	INIEN AND SIG POH IN
Short	I and her in Her mighter
·ayldy	Agentical Things She said Stra
Little	off free fastill and slid

				• •
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	(N) ===	**
	•	•	84-8505	¥
1 _ '	·			
100	·		•	
	7) 7			
1 : AAAA	W clour	and Ala	Sul All	
	7		1 5 6 7 1 0 1	7
· Lee-Ke	Ill_Sicluse	m sur sel	Distillation	<u>u</u>
The state of the s	11 116	and VICT	land be	
1	LICOI_LUST	alm Ally	all of g	
To Tolland	l-the soll	it in ho	1 lette la	£,
	-fl- flely	ed-glatinally	asingipic	
Little Later	# 1.70 / -/1	א ליבח ול	Said dire	الم الم
	d-lety-life	suy you	and grand	<u> </u>
EUST	and the	Saled Ist.	1. Pasid	
- July land	mug zuit.	114911	- Caryone	
THIM	LISHAT die	ひ ロイカイ	Mrs all	die.
	The state of the s	- 17	1 2 V:	. 7
VII.	o daddil	T [ALIOS_ A	00 15 Ca Cin	1
The state of the s	7111		111110	7 F
MLSA	Willed	'asi of so	4 49414 41	<u> </u>
1	daid III.	at him mest	1 1 Stell a	
	WILL KILLE	71/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2	of Lyfelf f	
-11 cm	11/400 1	La SAIN	Gothica Me	1deA
	20000	The filling of	y asian or	acede
Ach x	(100 //100 1	and the	Distal Au	/ **
			r jeur je	
() and	18/10/1/16	T Chel SIL	TUIN ME	· S
			111	
MA	the il ast	20 SUSSE	AN LAND	
	Yalaal	160 15	Mas and	, f. ·
- GA	Sem ana	ssu saia	G.ED. G. WIIZ V	4
(Idail	leng lih	it the las	Il Il on	
(.J.J.U.G;	4NUIII-11114	Harry Jely	a grange	
Linkly	done it il	Alband ha	b til Me	
- Clisty	and the second	mueg que	The state of the s	
SAIN	anico Inv	MAP SE MER.	and she	1
		7	if the work	-
110	MI RILLA	ML ana	LULI DULM	£'
7/	1110	talle min	Il house	
	MIL GMA	AGUIS ATTU	AN XIGUILO	
DIETY	of full and	it albert	LIST IN ALA	
	JAG JULIII	ajusqui s	my just	*
1 Pikal	I Still AM	d Shi Sh	US GIS	
suff	- ayusi pulli	a peris	11 1	
TMA	Shi lilla	hur dada	4 and de	<u>Ld</u>
	7. 1	da .	TULLI	^
Me E	lo this ite	MENLL	raid stall	
'' w' _ //	1-1/1	Liver 1		_
CKA	espat Kath	My of	9 Jan 1	7
7-1	Dotherin 1	Vacti 1 and	alist noll	
	() (STALLE) 1-1-A	yes, gold	unacefuly	***************************************
- 1/1,	Tho M. Kill	Ablad.	ハボネガ・ノナー	
<i></i>	any granue-	14 HUSUS I	my ag	
Mal	Ahe Said	THE LURA	Ul SI DMA	w.
	and the state of t			

		egr com territoria		
	 			

	M7 4-
	^
	84-8506
1 What it feel like to do	mart. d
dight phow how to tel	her that
This warn't healt with	cit making
her fed fadito-dirtu.	daskedt
her il she was telling a	mi storici.
She faid Moul said U	ow Wouldn't
Tell mistil shir said no.	Mous sit
making it did The Il	Abed Sicil
She was aladed at me it	y said liet.
I said why Ilmer to ha	W Dodibed
UM. Are Mow afraid of I	hat Miles
my monnie 19 le Roure	Would
O Mand me and Soud mil	L. 1141.
bram. I said Way kno	Willotalant
do that She Said of be	now. Il
Said thin is this all	10. Sh.
said ites I han got.	Batteries
and Palled the Orlisted	ens scauss.
I dedn't borge What is	la Tadai
Valacioness finish	I Sticaid
· Last Lathrum She strip	if Would't
de and and the little	dothold
" up in Court soul di	don't las!
- Aus Lay Then Cally	1 and sil
Told him Thin the	the it to
a fac Calif Presse.	
-0-3000	
Shirker L	Dencis.
	The state of the s

Spencer-05228